

Twisted generalized Weyl algebras, polynomial Cartan matrices and Serre-type relations

Jonas T. Hartwig

Twisted generalized Weyl algebras (TGWAs) are defined as the quotient of a certain graded algebra by the maximal graded ideal I with trivial zero component, analogous to how Kac-Moody algebras can be defined. In this paper we introduce the class of locally finite TGWAs, and show that one can associate to such an algebra a polynomial Cartan matrix (a notion extending the usual generalized Cartan matrices appearing in Kac-Moody algebra theory) and that the corresponding generalized Serre relations hold in the TGWA. We also give an explicit construction of a family of locally finite TGWAs depending on a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix C and some scalars. The polynomial Cartan matrix of an algebra in this family may be regarded as a deformation of the original matrix C and gives rise to quantum Serre relations in the TGWA. We conjecture that these relations generate the graded ideal I for these algebras, and prove it in type A_2 .

Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC2010): 16S32 (17B37)

Keywords: generalized Weyl algebra, Serre relation, quantum group

1 Introduction

Generalized Weyl algebras (GWAs) were introduced by Bavula [2], and by Rosenberg [17] under the name hyperbolic rings. Their structure and representation theory have been extensively studied in varying degrees of generality, see [5],[6],[3],[4],[8],[10] and references therein. Examples of generalized Weyl algebras include the n :th Weyl algebra A_n , the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ as well as many other interesting families of algebras (see for example [7] and references therein).

In an attempt to enlarge the class of GWAs so as to include also the enveloping algebras of semisimple Lie algebras of higher rank, Mazorchuk and Turowska [14] defined the notion of a twisted generalized Weyl algebra (TGWA). They are natural generalizations of the GWAs

but their structure is more complicated. Representations of TGWAs were investigated in [14],[13],[15] and [9].

In [14] a first indication of a relation to higher rank Lie algebras was found (in the support of weight modules). Later, in [13], it was shown that the Mickelsson step algebras $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}, \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$ as well as some extended orthogonal Gelfand-Zetlin (OGZ) algebras associated to $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ are examples of TGWAs. It is still unknown whether enveloping algebras of higher rank Lie algebras are examples of TGWAs, but it is known that a certain localization of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is isomorphic to an extended OGZ algebra, and hence is a TGWA.

In this paper we further strengthen the link between TGWAs and enveloping algebras of semisimple Lie algebras. Namely, we define a natural subclass of TGWAs which we call *locally finite* TGWAs (Definition 4.1). We show (Theorem 4.4) that in those algebras, relations of the following type hold:

$$X_i^{m_{ij}} X_j + \eta_{ij}^{(1)} X_i^{m_{ij}-1} X_j X_i + \cdots + \eta_{ij}^{(m_{ij})} X_j X_i^{m_{ij}} = 0,$$

where $\eta_{ij}^{(k)}$ are scalars. Such relations may be regarded as generalized Serre relations. Furthermore, we construct examples of locally finite TGWAs, denoted $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$, where these relations are the quantum Serre relations associated to a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix C . More precisely, Theorem 5.2c) says that there exist nonzero algebra homomorphisms

$$\varphi_{\pm} : U_q(\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}$ are the positive and negative part of the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}(C)$ associated to C . We conjecture that the maps $\varphi_{\pm}$ are injective and prove it in the case when C is of type A_2 (Corollary 6.4). We believe that the algebras in this family merit further study and we plan to investigate their structure and representations in forthcoming papers.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition of TGWAs and give some examples. After establishing some preliminary results in Section 3 we define the class of locally finite TGWAs in Section 4 and show how to associate polynomial Cartan matrices to them such that the corresponding Serre relations hold. Then, in Section 5, we give the construction of the locally finite TGWAs, $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$, associated to a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix and some parameters $q, \mu = (\mu_{ij})$. Finally, in Section 6 we give sufficient conditions for the Serre-type relations to generate the maximal graded ideal appearing in the definition of TGWAs, which in particular can be used to obtain an explicit presentation of the algebra $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$ when C is of type A_2 .

Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) in the VIDI-project ‘‘Symmetry and modularity in exactly solvable models’’. The author would like to thank L. Turowska for commenting on an early version of this paper, and J. Palmkvist and J. Öinert for interesting discussions.

2 Notation and definitions

We fix an arbitrary ground field $\mathbb{K}$. All algebras are associative unital $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras. Let us recall the definition of a twisted generalized Weyl algebra [14, 13]. The input for this construction is a positive integer n , a commutative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra R , an n -tuple $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ of commuting $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra automorphisms of R , an n -tuple $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (R \setminus \{0\})^n$, and a symmetric matrix $\mu = (\mu_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ with entries from $\mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ but diagonal elements μ_{ii} left undefined (they are irrelevant). The following consistency relation is required:

$$t_i t_j = \mu_{ij} \mu_{ji} \sigma_j^{-1}(t_i) \sigma_i^{-1}(t_j) \quad \forall i \neq j. \quad (2.1)$$

The associated *twisted generalized Weyl construction (TGWC)*, $A' = A'(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$, is the algebra obtained from R by adjoining new noncommuting generators $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ with the following defining relations for $i, j = 1, \dots, n, i \neq j$:

$$X_i r = \sigma_i(r) X_i, \quad Y_i r = \sigma_i^{-1}(r) Y_i, \quad \forall r \in R, \quad (2.2a)$$

$$Y_i X_i = t_i, \quad X_i Y_i = \sigma_i(t_i), \quad (2.2b)$$

$$X_i Y_j = \mu_{ij} Y_j X_i. \quad (2.2c)$$

One can show that relation (2.1) is sufficient for the algebra A' to be nontrivial, and also necessary if the t_i are not zero-divisors in R . A' has a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -gradation $\{A'_g\}_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ given by $\deg X_i = e_i, \deg Y_i = -e_i, \deg r = 0 \forall r \in R$, where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the standard $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. One can check that $A'_0 = R$. Let $I \subseteq A'$ be the unique maximal graded ideal intersecting R trivially. Equivalently I is the sum of all graded ideals intersecting R trivially. The *twisted generalized Weyl algebra (TGWA)*, $A = A(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$, is defined as the quotient $A = A'/I$. Since I is graded, A inherits a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -gradation from A' . The images of the elements X_i, Y_i in A will be denoted by the same letters.

In this paper, the assumption that μ is symmetric is a matter of convenience, because then relations (2.2) imply that A' carries an anti-involution $*$, (that is, a $\mathbb{K}$ -linear map $A' \rightarrow A'$ with $a^{**} = a, (ab)^* = b^* a^*$) determined by

$$X_i^* = Y_i, \quad Y_i^* = X_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad r^* = r \quad \forall r \in R. \quad (2.3)$$

Clearly $I^* = I$ so $*$ descends to an anti-involution on A .

By a *homogenous* element in A' (resp. A) we mean an element of $\cup_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A'_g$ (resp. $\cup_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A_g$). By a *monic monomial* in A' (or A) we mean a product $Z_1 \cdots Z_k$ where $Z_j \in \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{Y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for each j . The group $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts on R via the automorphisms σ_i : $g(r) = (\sigma_1^{g_1} \cdots \sigma_n^{g_n})(r)$ for $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $r \in R$. Using this action and (2.2a) we have

$$a \cdot r = (\deg a)(r) \cdot a \quad \text{for any homogenous } a \in A \text{ and any } r \in R. \quad (2.4)$$

Example 2.1 (The TGWA of “type A_2 ” from Example 3 in [14]). Let $n = 2, R = \mathbb{K}[H]$, $\sigma_1(H) = H + 1, \sigma_2(H) = H - 1$, and $t_1 = H, t_2 = H + 1$, and $\mu_{12} = \mu_{21} = 1$. Then the consistency relation $t_i t_j = \sigma_j^{-1}(t_i) \sigma_i^{-1}(t_j), i \neq j$ hold. Let $A = A(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ be the corresponding TGWA. In [14] this example was associated to the coxeter graph of type A_2 , but the ideal I was not described. We will come back to this example and eventually exhibit a set of generators for the ideal I (Example 4.5 and Example 6.3).

Example 2.2 (Quantized Weyl algebras). Let $\bar{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ be an n -tuple of elements of $\mathbb{K} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with nonzero entries from $\mathbb{K}$ such that $\lambda_{ji} = \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$ for $i < j$. The n :th quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ is the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra with generators x_i, y_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and relations

$$x_i x_j = q_i \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i, \quad y_i y_j = \lambda_{ij} y_j y_i, \quad (2.5)$$

$$x_i y_j = \lambda_{ji} y_j x_i, \quad x_j y_i = q_i \lambda_{ij} y_i x_j, \quad (2.6)$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and

$$x_i y_i - q_i y_i x_i = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (q_k - 1) y_i x_k, \quad (2.7)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This algebra was introduced in [16] (for special parameters) and investigated since then by many authors. Its representation theory has been studied from the point of view of TGWA's in [15] and [9]. To realize it as a TGWA, let $R = \mathbb{K}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ be the polynomial algebra in n variables and σ_i the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra automorphisms of R defined by

$$\sigma_i(t_j) = \begin{cases} t_j, & j < i, \\ 1 + q_i t_i + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (q_k - 1) t_k, & j = i, \\ q_i t_j, & j > i. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

One can check that the σ_i commute. Let $\mu = (\mu_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be defined by $\mu_{ij} = \lambda_{ij}$ and $\mu_{ji} = q_i \lambda_{ij}$ for $i < j$ (so if the involution (2.3) is required, one needs to impose also that $\lambda_{ji} = q_i \lambda_{ij}$, $i < j$). Put $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$. One can show that the maximal graded ideal of the TGWC $A'(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ is generated by the elements

$$X_i X_j - q_i \lambda_{ij} X_j X_i, \quad Y_i Y_j - \lambda_{ij} Y_j Y_i, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

so that $A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ is isomorphic to the TGWA $A(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ via $x_i \mapsto X_i$, $y_i \mapsto Y_i$.

3 Preliminary results

The following observation about the ideal I in a TGWC is very useful. Recall that an ideal J in a graded algebra B is called *completely gr-prime* if $ab \in J$ implies $a \in J$ or $b \in J$ for any homogenous elements $a, b \in B$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $A' = A'(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ be a TGWC, where R is a domain. Then I is completely gr-prime.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in A'$ be homogenous and suppose $ab \in I$. First we assume that $a = b^*$. Then, since $\deg(b^*) = -\deg(b)$, we have $b^*b \in I \cap R = 0$. Let $c_1, c_2 \in A'$ be any homogenous elements such that $\deg(c_1 b c_2) = 0$. Then $(c_1 b c_2)^* \cdot (c_1 b c_2) = (\deg c_2^* b^*)(c_1^* c_1) \cdot (\deg c_2^*)(b^* b) \cdot c_2^* c_2 = 0$. Since R has no zero-divisors we conclude that $c_1 b c_2 = 0$. This proves that $b \in I$.

Now let a, b be any homogenous element in A' with $ab \in I$. Then $0 = (ab)^* \cdot ab = b^*(a^*a)b = (\deg b^*)(a^*a)b^*b$. So since R has no zero-divisors either $a^*a = 0$ or $b^*b = 0$. Thus, by the first part, either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. $\square$

Remark 3.2. Proposition 3.1 can be viewed as a refinement of the statement that the *Shapovalov form* $F : A \times A \rightarrow R$, given by $F(a, b) = p(a^*b)$, where $p : A \rightarrow A_0 = R$ is the graded projection, is non-degenerate when $A = A(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ is a TGWA in which R is a domain, see [13, Corollary 5.5].

Since the t_i are nonzero, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $A' = A'(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ be a TGWC, where R is a domain. Then no monic monomial belongs to I .*

Using Proposition 3.1 we can prove the following explicit correspondence between certain relations in R and certain elements in the ideal I of a TGWC.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $A' = A'(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ be a TGWC and suppose R is a domain. Fix $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $r_0, \dots, r_m \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $r_0 X_i^m X_j + r_1 X_i^{m-1} X_j X_i + \dots + r_m X_i X_j^m \in I$,
- (ii) $Y_j Y_i^m r_0 + Y_i Y_j Y_i^{m-1} r_1 + \dots + Y_i^m Y_j r_m \in I$,
- (iii) $s_0 \sigma_i^m(t_j) + s_i \sigma_i^{m-1}(t_j) + \dots + s_m t_j = 0$, where $s_k = \mu_{ij}^k \sigma_j^{-1}(r_k)$ for $k = 0, \dots, m$.

Proof. By applying the involution $*$, (i) is clearly equivalent to (ii). Put $a = \sum_{k=0}^m r_k X_i^{m-k} X_j X_i^k$. Then a is homogenous of degree $m e_i + e_j$, where $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ (1 on i :th position). So if $a \in I$ then $a Y_j Y_i^m = 0$ by definition of I . Conversely, if $a Y_j Y_i^m = 0$, then $a \in I$ since I is completely gr-prime and does not contain the monic monomial $Y_j Y_i^k$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} a Y_j Y_i^m &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^m r_k X_i^{m-k} X_j X_i^k \right) \cdot Y_j Y_i^m = \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \mu_{ij}^k r_k \sigma_i^{m-k}(\sigma_j(t_j)) \right) \cdot X_i^m Y_i^m = \\ &= \sigma_j \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \mu_{ij}^k \sigma_j^{-1}(r_k) \cdot \sigma_i^{m-k}(t_j) \right) \cdot X_i^m Y_i^m, \end{aligned}$$

which is zero iff (iii) holds, since $X_i^m Y_i^m \in R$ and is nonzero, and R has no zero-divisors. $\square$

3.1 A note on the case of Noetherian base ring R

This subsection is independent of the rest of the paper. We include it to show that one can say something about the ideal I even under very mild conditions on the data R, σ, t, μ .

Proposition 3.5. *Let $A' = A'(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ be a TGWC, and assume that R is a Noetherian domain. Then for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$, there exist $m_{ij}, n_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $r_{ij}^{(1)}, \dots, r_{ij}^{(m_{ij})} \in R$ and $s_{ij}^{(1)}, \dots, s_{ij}^{(n_{ij})} \in R$, where $r_{ij}^{(m_{ij})}, s_{ij}^{(n_{ij})} \neq 0$, such that*

$$X_i^{m_{ij}} X_j + r_{ij}^{(1)} X_i^{m_{ij}-1} X_j X_i + \dots + r_{ij}^{(m_{ij})} X_j X_i^{m_{ij}} \in I \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$X_j X_i^{n_{ij}} + s_{ij}^{(1)} X_i X_j X_i^{n_{ij}-1} + \cdots + s_{ij}^{(n_{ij})} X_i^{n_{ij}} X_j \in I. \quad (3.2)$$

If m_{ij} and n_{ij} are assumed to be minimal, and if all $r_{ij}^{(k)}$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_{ij}$) are invertible or if all $s_{ij}^{(k)}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n_{ij}$) are invertible, then the elements in (3.1) and (3.2) are multiples of each other by invertible elements from R , and the coefficients are uniquely determined. By applying the anti-involution $*$ we have analogous identities for the Y_i 's.

Remark 3.6. Note that the leftmost coefficients in (3.1), (3.2) are 1. Otherwise the statement would be trivial due to the identity $\sigma_j(t_j) X_i X_j = \mu_{ij}^{-1} X_j X_i t_i$ which follows from (2.2).

Proof. Since R is Noetherian, the ascending chain of ideals

$$(t_j) \subseteq (t_j, \sigma_i(t_j)) \subseteq (t_j, \sigma_i(t_j), \sigma_i^2(t_j)) \subseteq \cdots$$

in R must stabilize. Thus there is a positive integer k and $r'_1, \dots, r'_k \in R$ such that

$$\sigma_i^k(t_j) = r'_1 \sigma_i^{k-1}(t_j) + r'_2 \sigma_i^{k-2}(t_j) + \cdots + r'_k t_j. \quad (3.3)$$

If k was chosen minimal, then $r'_k \neq 0$. Indeed, otherwise, apply σ_i^{-1} to (3.3) to contradict minimality. By Proposition 3.4, we get a relation of the form (3.1) with minimal m_{ij} . For (3.1), look instead on the chain $(t_j) \subseteq (t_j, \sigma_i^{-1}(t_j)) \subseteq \cdots$ and apply a positive power of σ .

Assume m_{ij} and n_{ij} are minimal and, say, all $r_{ij}^{(k)}$ are invertible. Suppose we have another identity of the form (3.1) with the same m_{ij} . Then we can eliminate the last term and get that X_i multiplied by a certain element b of degree $(m_{ij} - 1)e_i + e_j$ lies in I , which by Proposition 3.1 gives that $b \in I$, contradicting minimality of m_{ij} . Then by uniqueness (3.1) and (3.2) are just multiples of each other. $\square$

4 Locally finite TGWAs and their polynomial Cartan matrices

In view of Proposition 3.4, the following class of TGWAs seems natural to consider.

Definition 4.1. a) Let $A = A(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ be a TGWA. Define the following $\mathbb{K}$ -linear subspaces of R for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$:

$$V_{ij} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}} \{ \sigma_i^k(t_j) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \}. \quad (4.1)$$

We say that A is *locally finite* if $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V_{ij} < \infty$ for all i, j .

- b) To a locally finite TGWA, A , we associate the *matrix of minimal polynomials* $P_A = (p_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ by letting $p_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ be the minimal polynomial for σ_i acting on the finite-dimensional space V_{ij} . Equivalently, p_{ij} is the monic polynomial of minimal degree such that $(p_{ij}(\sigma_i))(t_j) = 0$.

Remark 4.2. If the algebra R is generated, as a $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra, by the set $\{\sigma_i^k(t_j) \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then local finiteness of the TGWA is equivalent to that the automorphisms σ_i act locally finitely on R , hence the choice of terminology.

Recall that a generalized Cartan matrix is a matrix $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ with $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z} \forall i, j$ which satisfies i) $c_{ii} = 2 \forall i$, ii) $c_{ij} \leq 0 \forall i \neq j$, iii) $c_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow c_{ji} = 0 \forall i \neq j$. We make the following definition.

Definition 4.3. A polynomial Cartan matrix, $P = (p_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$, is a matrix of polynomials $p_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ such that its shifted degree matrix $(1 - \deg p_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ coincides with a generalized Cartan matrix away from the diagonal. Equivalently, P is a polynomial Cartan matrix iff for any $i \neq j$ we have $\deg p_{ij} \geq 1$ and $\deg p_{ij} = 1$ iff $\deg p_{ji} = 1$. We will denote the Cartan matrix obtained from P by $C(P)$.

The point in making these definitions is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. Let $A = A(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ be a locally finite TGWA, where R is a domain. Then

- a) the matrix of minimal polynomials $P_A = (p_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ of A is a polynomial Cartan matrix,
- b) writing

$$p_{ij}(x) = x^{m_{ij}} + \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} x^{m_{ij}-1} + \dots + \lambda_{ij}^{(m_{ij})},$$

where all $\lambda_{ij}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{K}$, the following identities hold in A , for any $i \neq j$:

$$X_i^{m_{ij}} X_j + \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} \mu_{ij}^{-1} X_i^{m_{ij}-1} X_j X_i + \dots + \lambda_{ij}^{(m_{ij})} \mu_{ij}^{-m_{ij}} X_j X_i^{m_{ij}} = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$Y_j Y_i^{m_{ij}} + \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} \mu_{ij}^{-1} Y_i Y_j Y_i^{m_{ij}-1} + \dots + \lambda_{ij}^{(m_{ij})} \mu_{ij}^{-m_{ij}} Y_i^{m_{ij}} Y_j = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover, for any $i \neq j$ and $m < m_{ij}$, the sets $\{X_i^{m-k} X_j X_i^k\}_{k=0}^m$ and $\{Y_i^{m-k} Y_j Y_i^k\}_{k=0}^m$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}$.

Proof. a) Since $t_i \neq 0$ for each i it is clear that $\deg p_{ij} \geq 1$ for all i, j . And if p_{ij} has degree one for some $i \neq j$, then $\sigma_i(t_j) = \lambda t_j$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the consistency relation (2.1) and that t_j is not a zero-divisor imply that $\sigma_j(t_i) = \lambda' t_i$ for some $\lambda' \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus $\deg(p_{ji}) = 1$.

b) This is immediate from Proposition 3.4. □

Note that the constant terms $\lambda_{ij}^{(m_{ij})}$ are all nonzero, since the p_{ij} are minimal polynomials for invertible linear transformations.

Example 4.5 (The TGWA of “type A_2 ”, contd.). Recall that $n = 2, R = \mathbb{K}[H], \sigma_1(H) = H + 1, \sigma_2(H) = H - 1$, and $t_1 = H, t_2 = H + 1$, and $\mu_{12} = \mu_{21} = 1$ and $A = A(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ is the corresponding TGWA. Clearly A is locally finite with $V_{ij} = \mathbb{C}H \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ for $i, j = 1, 2$. Observing that $\sigma_2(t_1)$ and t_1 are linearly independent and that

$$\sigma_2^2(t_1) - 2\sigma_2(t_1) + t_1 = H - 2 - 2(H - 1) + H = 0$$

we see that the minimal polynomial p_{21} for σ_2 acting on V_{21} is given by $p_{21}(x) = x^2 - 2x + 1 = (x - 1)^2$. Similarly one checks that in fact $p_{ij}(x) = (x - 1)^2$ for all $i, j = 1, 2$. Thus, by Theorem 4.4b), we have the relations

$$X_1^2 X_2 - 2X_1 X_2 X_1 + X_2 X_1^2 = 0, \quad Y_1^2 Y_2 - 2Y_1 Y_2 Y_1 + Y_2 Y_1^2 = 0,$$

in A , which are precisely the Serre relations in the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{K})$. Also, $X_1 X_2$, $X_2 X_1$, and $Y_1 Y_2$, $Y_2 Y_1$ are linearly independent. Note that $C(P_A) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is the Cartan matrix of type A_2 , thus really motivating us to call this algebra a TGWA of type A_2 .

Example 4.6. From (2.8) it is easy to see that the quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ is also locally finite, and that the Cartan matrix $C(P)$ associated to its matrix of minimal polynomials P is of the type $(A_1)^n = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_1$ (i.e. all zeros outside the diagonal).

The polynomial Cartan matrices should be regarded as a refinement of the notion of generalized Cartan matrices in the following sense.

Example 4.7. Let C be any generalized Cartan matrix. To C we can associate the matrix $P = (p_{ij})$ given by $p_{ij}(x) = (x - 1)^{1-a_{ij}}$ for $i \neq j$ and anything on the diagonal. Then P is a polynomial Cartan matrix and $C(P) = C$. More generally, we could take $p_{ij}(x) = (x - q^{a_{ij}})(x - q^{a_{ij}+2}) \cdots (x - q^{-a_{ij}})$, where $q \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Assuming that we had a locally finite TGWA, with R a domain, whose polynomial Cartan matrix was equal to such a P , and if all $\mu_{ij} = 1$, relations (4.2), (4.3) would become the usual (quantum) Serre relations occurring in the (quantum) enveloping algebra of the Kac-Moody algebra associated to C . In the next section we construct such an algebra in the case when C is symmetric.

It would be interesting to find conditions under which a locally finite TGWA A is determined up to isomorphism by its polynomial Cartan matrix P_A . Part of this question would be to determine under what conditions the generalized Serre relations (4.2), (4.3) generate the ideal I . In Section 6 we give some sufficient conditions for this when $n = 2$.

5 A construction of locally finite TGWAs with specified polynomial Cartan matrix

Let $C = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix, let $q \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mu = (\mu_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix without diagonal with $\mu_{ij} \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$.

Take R to be the following polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{K}$:

$$R = \mathbb{K}[H_{ij}^{(k)} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \text{ and } k = a_{ij}, a_{ij} + 2, \dots, -a_{ij}].$$

Define $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(R)$ by setting, for all $i < j$ and $k = a_{ij}, a_{ij} + 2, \dots, -a_{ij}$:

$$\sigma_j(H_{ij}^{(k)}) = \mu_{ij} q^k H_{ij}^{(k)} + H_{ij}^{(k-2)}, \quad \text{where } H_{ij}^{(a_{ij}-2)} := 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\sigma_i(H_{ij}^{(k)}) = \mu_{ij}^2 \sigma_j^{-1}(H_{ij}^{(k)}), \quad (5.2)$$

$$\sigma_r(H_{ij}^{(k)}) = H_{ij}^{(k)}, \quad r \neq i, j. \quad (5.3)$$

For notational purposes, put

$$H_{ij} = H_{ij}^{(-a_{ij})}, \quad H_{ji} = \sigma_j^{-1}(H_{ij}) \quad \text{for all } i < j, \quad \text{and } H_{ii} = 1 \text{ for all } i$$

and define

$$t_i = H_{i1}H_{i2} \cdots H_{in}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proposition-Definition 5.1. *The data (R, σ, t, μ) satisfies the conditions required in the definition of a TGWA, namely, the σ_i commute with each other, and the consistency relation (2.1) hold. We let $\mathcal{T}'_{q,\mu}(C) = A'(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ denote the associated twisted generalized Weyl construction and $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C) = A(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ the corresponding twisted generalized Weyl algebra.*

Proof. It is easy to check that the automorphisms σ_i commute, since on each $H_{ij}^{(k)}$ either one of the automorphisms is the identity, or their composition is a multiple of the identity. To prove the consistency relations

$$t_i t_j = \mu_{ij}^2 \sigma_j^{-1}(t_i) \sigma_i^{-1}(t_j) \quad \forall i \neq j,$$

we can assume by symmetry that $i < j$. Then the right hand side equals

$$\mu_{ij}^2 H_{i1} \cdots \sigma_j^{-1}(H_{ij}) \cdots H_{in} \cdot H_{j1} \cdots \sigma_i^{-1}(H_{ji}) \cdots H_{jn}$$

which equals $t_i t_j$ since $\sigma_j^{-1}(H_{ij}) = H_{ji}$ by choice of notation and $\mu_{ij}^2 \sigma_i^{-1}(H_{ji}) = \mu_{ij}^2 \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_j^{-1}(H_{ij}) = H_{ij}$ by (5.2). $\square$

To formulate the next theorem, recall that for any $q \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, the q -binomial coefficients $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ may be defined as the elements in the subring $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ of $\mathbb{K}$ given by requiring the identity

$$(x + q^{-m+1})(x + q^{-m+3}) \cdots (x + q^{m-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x^k \quad (5.4)$$

in $\mathbb{K}[x]$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q = 1$ by convention. If q is not a root of unity and $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic zero, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q! [k]_q!}, \quad [n]_q! = [n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q, \quad [n]_q = q^{-n+1} + q^{-n+2} + \cdots + q^{n-1}, \quad (5.5)$$

which can be proved by verifying that both definitions solve the same recursion relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = q^{-k} \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q + q^{m-k} \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

We now come to the main theorem in this section, which relates the algebra $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$ to the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g}(C))$ associated to the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}(C)$ (see for example [12] for an introduction to Drinfel'd-Jimbo quantum groups).

Theorem 5.2. a) $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$ is locally finite.

b) The off-diagonal entries of the polynomial Cartan matrix $P = (p_{ij})$ of $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$ are given by

$$p_{ij}(x) = (x - \mu_{ij}q^{a_{ij}})(x - \mu_{ij}q^{a_{ij}+2}) \cdots (x - \mu_{ij}q^{-a_{ij}}) = \quad (5.6)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \mu_{ij}^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x^{1-a_{ij}-k} \quad (5.7)$$

for any $i \neq j$.

c) In $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$, the quantum Serre relations of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g}(C))$ hold. That is, for any $i \neq j$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q X_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} X_j X_i^k = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q Y_i^k Y_j Y_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Moreover, for any $i \neq j$ and any $m < 1 - a_{ij}$ the elements $X_i^{m-k} X_j X_i^k$ and $Y_i^{m-k} Y_j Y_i^k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}$.

Proof. a) Giving R the natural $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -gradation by letting all $H_{ij}^{(k)}$ be of degree one, we observe that all automorphisms σ_i preserve the degree one subspace of R . Since the t_i have degree n , each V_{ij} is therefore contained in the finite-dimensional subspace of R of elements of degree n , so $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$ is locally finite.

b) Let $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and consider the following linear subspace of R :

$$W_{ij} = \mathbb{K}H_{ij}^{(a_{ij})} \oplus \mathbb{K}H_{ij}^{(a_{ij}+2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{K}H_{ij}^{(-a_{ij})}.$$

It has dimension $1 - a_{ij}$. By (5.1) the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra automorphism σ_j preserves the subspace W_{ij} and the matrix of $\sigma_j|_{W_{ij}}$ in the ordered basis $(H_{ij}^{(a_{ij})}, H_{ij}^{(a_{ij}+2)}, \dots, H_{ij}^{(-a_{ij})})$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu_{ij}q^{a_{ij}} & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & \mu_{ij}q^{a_{ij}+2} & & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \mu_{ij}q^{-a_{ij}-2} & & 1 \\ & & & & \mu_{ij}q^{-a_{ij}} & \end{bmatrix}$$

(zeros omitted). Thus the minimal polynomial $p_{ij}(x)$ of $\sigma_j|_{W_{ij}}$ equals

$$p_{ij}(x) = (x - \mu_{ij}q^{a_{ij}})(x - \mu_{ij}q^{a_{ij}+2}) \cdots (x - \mu_{ij}q^{-a_{ij}}).$$

Since $\sigma_j(H_{rs}^{(k)}) = H_{rs}^{(k)}$ if $r, s \neq j$ it follows that p_{ij} is also the minimum polynomial for σ_j acting on the space V_{ji} spanned by all $\sigma_j^k(t_i)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$). On W_{ij} we also have, using (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}(\sigma_i)|_{W_{ij}} &= (\mu_{ij}^2 \sigma_j^{-1} - \mu_{ij} q^{a_{ij}})(\mu_{ij}^2 \sigma_j^{-1} - \mu_{ij} q^{a_{ij}+2}) \cdots (\mu_{ij}^2 \sigma_j^{-1} - \mu_{ij} q^{-a_{ij}}) = \\ &= \mu_{ij}^{1-a_{ij}} \sigma_j^{a_{ij}-1} (\mu_{ij} q^{-a_{ij}} - \sigma_j)(\mu_{ij} q^{-a_{ij}-2} - \sigma_j) \cdots (\mu_{ij} q^{a_{ij}} - \sigma_j) = \\ &= (-\mu_{ij})^{1-a_{ij}} \sigma_j^{a_{ij}-1} (p_{ij}(\sigma_j)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\sigma_i|_{W_{ij}}$ cannot satisfy any polynomial of lower degree since it is a multiple of the inverse of $\sigma_j|_{W_{ij}}$. This shows that $p_{ij}(x)$ is also the minimal polynomial of $\sigma_i|_{W_{ij}}$ and thus the minimal polynomial for $\sigma_i|_{V_{ij}}$. This proves that the polynomial Cartan matrix P of $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$ is given by (5.6). The equality (5.7) follows from the definition (5.4) of the q -binomial coefficients.

c) This follows from part b) and Theorem 4.4. $\square$

We believe that the quantum Serre relations (5.8), (5.9) in fact generate the ideal I of the TGWC $\mathcal{T}'_{q,\mu}(C)$. This would yield a complete presentation of $\mathcal{T}_{q,\mu}(C)$ by generators and relations. The result in the next section implies that this is true in the case when C is the Cartan matrix of type A_2 .

6 Sufficient conditions for Serre-type relations to generate the ideal I of a TGWC

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that $A' = A'(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ is a twisted generalized Weyl construction of rank $n = 2$ where R is a domain, with the following properties:*

(P1) *For any positive integer k and any $r \in R$ the following implication hold:*

$$r \cdot (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^k(t_1) \cdot (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^{k-1}(t_1) \cdots (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)(t_1) \in R \cdot \sigma_1(t_1) \implies r \in R \cdot \sigma_1(t_1),$$

(P2) *the ideal I of A' contains elements of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &:= X_2 X_1^2 - \xi_1 X_1 X_2 X_1 - \xi_2 X_1^2 X_2, \\ s_2 &:= X_2^2 X_1 - \eta_1 X_2 X_1 X_2 - \eta_2 X_1 X_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where ξ_i, η_i are nonzero elements of $\mathbb{K}$.

Then I is generated by s_1, s_2, s_1^, s_2^* .*

Remark 6.2. Condition (P1) is satisfied if the following two properties hold:

(P1a) $\sigma_1 \sigma_2(t_1) = \lambda t_1$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, and

(P1b) $R \cdot t_1$ is a prime ideal in R and the ideals $R \cdot t_1$ and $R \cdot \sigma_1(t_1)$ are coprime, i.e. their sum is all of R .

Proof. We begin with a simple observation. Let $g = (g_1, g_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and suppose $a \in I \cap A_g$, $a \neq 0$. If $g_1 \geq 0$ and $g_2 \leq 0$ then $a = rX_1^{g_1}Y_2^{g_2}$ for some $r \in R$. Since I is completely gr-prime and contains no monic monomials (Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.3) we get $r \in I$. But $I \cap R = 0$ so $r = 0$, contradicting $a \neq 0$. Similarly, we cannot have $g_1 \leq 0$ and $g_2 \geq 0$. Thus, if $I \cap A_g \neq 0$, then either $g_1 > 0$ and $g_2 > 0$, or $g_1 < 0$ and $g_2 < 0$.

Now let I_S denote the ideal in A' generated by s_1, s_2, s_1^*, s_2^* . By Property (P2) we know $I_S \subseteq I$. Assume that $I_S \subsetneq I$. Then, among all homogenous elements in $I \setminus I_S$, choose one, a , with minimal total degree $|g_1 + g_2|$, where $(g_1, g_2) = \deg a \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. After applying the involution $*$ if necessary, we can, by the previous paragraph, assume that $g_1, g_2 > 0$.

For a monic monomial $w = Z_1 \cdots Z_k \in A'$ where $Z_i \in \{X_1, X_2\} \forall i$, define the *length* $\ell(w)$ as the number of pairs (i, j) , $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ such that $Z_i = X_2$ and $Z_j = X_1$. The element a can be written as a sum of monomials in the noncommuting elements X_1, X_2 with coefficients from R written on the left. Some of these monomials can be reduced mod I_S with the reductions

$$X_2X_1^2 \rightarrow \xi_1X_1X_2X_1 + \xi_2X_1^2X_2, \quad (6.1)$$

$$X_2^2X_1 \rightarrow \eta_1X_2X_1X_2 + \eta_2X_1X_2^2. \quad (6.2)$$

At each reduction a monomial w is replaced by a sum of two monomials each having strictly lower length than w . Thus after finite number of steps we obtain an element of the form

$$a' = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(g_1, g_2)} \beta_i X_1^{g_1-i} (X_2X_1)^i X_2^{g_2-i} \quad (6.3)$$

where $\beta_i \in R$. We can without loss of generality assume $a = a'$ since we only added elements from I_S of degree (g_1, g_2) . Then we have

$$aY_1^{g_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(g_1, g_2)} \alpha_i X_1^{g_1-i} \cdot (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^i(t_1) \cdot (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^{i-1} \cdots \sigma_1\sigma_2(t_1) \cdot Y_1^{g_1-i} X_2^{g_2},$$

where α_i equals β_i times some power of μ_{21} . Multiplying further by $Y_2^{g_2}$ from the right we get zero since $a \in I$. After that we can cancel $X_2^{g_2}Y_2^{g_2}$ since it is nonzero and R has no zero-divisors. Thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\min(g_1, g_2)} \alpha_i \sigma_1^{g_1} \sigma_2^i(t_1) \cdot \sigma_1^{g_1-1} \sigma_2^{i-1}(t_1) \cdots \sigma_1^{g_1-i+1} \sigma_2(t_1) \cdot X_1^{g_1-i} Y_1^{g_1-i} = 0. \quad (6.4)$$

For simplicity we now assume $g_1 \leq g_2$. The case $g_1 > g_2$ can be treated similarly. Then observe that, for $i < g_1$, the i -term in the sum (6.4) contains a factor $\sigma_1(t_1)$, namely by simplifying $X_1^{g_1-i} Y_1^{g_1-i}$. So

$$\alpha_{g_1} \cdot (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^{g_1}(t_1) \cdot (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^{g_1-1}(t_1) \cdots (\sigma_1\sigma_2)(t_1) + \gamma \cdot \sigma_1(t_1) = 0$$

for some $\gamma \in R$. By Property (P1) this implies that $\alpha_{g_1} = \tilde{\alpha}_{g_1} \cdot \sigma_1(t_1)$ for some $\tilde{\alpha}_{g_1} \in R$. Substituting this in the last term of (6.3) and using that $\sigma_1(t_1)X_2X_1 = \mu_{21}^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}(t_1)X_1X_2$

we get

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{g_1-1} \alpha_i X_1^{g_1-i} (X_2 X_1)^i X_2^{g_2-i} + \tilde{\alpha}_{g_1} \mu_{21}^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} (t_1) X_1 X_2 (X_2 X_1)^{g_1-1} X_2^{g_2-g_1} = X_1 b$$

for a certain $b \in A'$. Since $X_1 \notin I$, we conclude by Proposition 3.1 that $b \in I$. But then b has total degree $g_1 + g_2 - 1$. Thus $b \in I_S$, otherwise it contradicts the choice of a as an element of $I \setminus I_S$ with minimal total degree. But then $a = X_1 b \in I_S$ also which is a contradiction. This shows that $I_S \subsetneq I$ is false and thus $I_S = I$. $\square$

Example 6.3 (The TGWA of “type A_2 ”, contd.). Recall from Example 2.1 that $n = 2$, $R = \mathbb{K}[H]$, $\sigma_1(H) = H + 1$, $\sigma_2(H) = H - 1$, $t_1 = H$, $t_2 = H + 1$, $\mu_{12} = \mu_{21} = 1$ and let $A = A(R, \sigma, t, \mu)$ be the TGWA. Conditions (P1a), (P1b) and (P2) above are satisfied and thus A is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra with generators X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, H and defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 H &= (H + 1) X_1, & X_2 H &= (H - 1) X_2, & X_1^2 X_2 - 2 X_1 X_2 X_1 + X_2 X_1^2 &= 0, \\ Y_1 H &= (H - 1) Y_1, & Y_2 H &= (H + 1) Y_2, & Y_1^2 Y_2 - 2 Y_1 Y_2 Y_1 + Y_2 Y_1^2 &= 0, \\ Y_1 X_1 &= X_2 Y_2 = H, & Y_2 X_2 &= X_1 Y_1 = H + 1, & & \end{aligned}$$

It is interesting to notice that A contains two copies of the first Weyl algebra (with generators X_1, Y_1 and X_2, Y_2 respectively), and at the same time X_1, X_2 (and Y_1, Y_2) generate a subalgebra isomorphic to the enveloping algebra of the positive (or negative) nilpotent part of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{K})$.

Corollary 6.4. *Let C be the Cartan matrix of type A_2 . Then the maximal graded ideal with trivial zero component, I , of $\mathcal{T}'_{q,\mu}(C)$ is generated by the elements*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^2 X_2 - (q + q^{-1}) X_1 X_2 X_1 + X_2 X_1^2, \\ Y_1^2 Y_2 - (q + q^{-1}) Y_1 Y_2 Y_1 + Y_2 Y_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Properties (P1a) and (P1b) are easily verified for the TGWC $\mathcal{T}'_{q,\mu}(C)$, and Property (P2) holds by Theorem 5.2c), so Theorem 6.1 can be applied and yields the result. $\square$

It is now straightforward to write down a set of generators and relations for $\mathcal{T}'_{q,\mu}(C)$ when C is of type A_2 .

References

- [1] V. Bavula, *Finite-dimensionality of Ext^n and Tor_n of simple modules over a class of algebras*. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **25** No. 3 (1991) 80–82; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **25** No. 3 (1991) 229–230.
- [2] V. Bavula, *Generalized Weyl algebras and their representations*, St. Petersburg. Math. J., **4** (1993) 71–93.

- [3] V. V. Bavula, V. Bekkert, *Indecomposable representations of generalized Weyl algebras*, Comm. Algebra **28** (2000) 5067–5100.
- [4] V. Bekkert, G. Benkart, V. Futorny, *Weight modules for Weyl algebras*, in “Kac-Moody Lie algebras and related topics (Proc. Ramanujan internat. symp., Chennai, India, 2002)”, Contemp. Math. **343**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2004) 17–42.
- [5] V. V. Bavula, D. A. Jordan, *Isomorphism problems and groups of automorphisms for generalized Weyl algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** No. 2 (2000) 769–794.
- [6] V. V. Bavula, F. van Oystaeyen, *Simple modules of the Witten-Woronowicz algebra*, J. Algebra **271** (2004) 827–845.
- [7] P. A. A. B. Carvalho, S. A. Lopes, *Automorphisms of generalized down-up algebras*, Comm. Alg. **37** No. 5 (2009) 1622–1646.
- [8] Yu. A. Drozd, B. L. Guzner, S. A. Ovsienko, *Weight modules over generalized Weyl algebras*, J. Algebra **184** (1996) 491–504.
- [9] J.T. Hartwig, *Locally finite simple weight modules over twisted generalized Weyl algebras*, J. Algebra **303** No. 1 (2006) 42–76.
- [10] J.T. Hartwig, *Unitarizable weight modules over generalized Weyl algebras*, arXiv:0803.0687 [math.RA].
- [11] V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Third edition 1990.
- [12] A. Klimyk, K. Schmudgen, *Quantum groups and their representations*, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [13] V. Mazorchuk, M. Ponomarenko, L. Turowska, *Some associative algebras related to $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and twisted generalized Weyl algebras*, Math. Scand. **92** (2003) 5–30.
- [14] V. Mazorchuk, L. Turowska, *Simple weight modules over twisted generalized Weyl algebras*, Comm. Alg. **27** No. 6 (1999) 2613–2625.
- [15] V. Mazorchuk, L. Turowska, **-Representations of twisted generalized Weyl constructions*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **5** No. 2 (2002) 163–186.
- [16] W. Pusz, S. L. Woronowicz, *Twisted second quantization*, Reports on Math. Phys. **27** No. 2 (1989) 231–257.
- [17] A. L. Rosenberg, *Noncommutative algebraic geometry and representations of quantized algebras*, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1995).

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM, KORTEWEG-DE VRIES INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, P.O. Box 94248,
 1090 GE AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS
 Email: `jonas.hartwig@gmail.com`